



Grantee:

Date:

*Some areas within the Risk Assessment were not measured (see crossed-out sections) due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Part 1: Has the Grantee been found to be non-compliant with the agency's Fiscal Risk Assessment?

- Yes- Grantee is scored as high risk.
- No- Continue with the program's risk assessment.

Part 2:

A. Grant Performance Factors

At Risk Indicator	Pass (0)	Watch (1)	Risky (2)	Weight	Score
Students Served: The grantee has identified and is serving eligible students and their families consistent with the original grant application.	Served 90% or greater of proposed # of students attending the program.	Served 80-89% of students per application goal.	Served below 80% of students per application goal.	4	N/A
APR: The grantee complies with the OPI's data collection and reporting requirements including submittal of all data reports in a complete and timely manner.	Data for all 3 reporting periods was accurate and provided prior to deadline	Data was not accurate or was late for 1 reporting period	Data was not accurate or was late 2-3 of the reporting periods	2	
Student Achievement: The grantee is improving student achievement based on the state reading assessment.	Students improved by 4-5% or more on state reading assessment.	Students improved by 2-3% on state reading assessment.	Students improved by 1.9% or less on state reading assessment.	2	N/A
Professional Development: Program staff attend 21st CCLC required training sessions.	Attends all required training.	Attends 1-2 of required training sessions.	Does not attend required training.	4	N/A
Programming Hours: The grantee is providing a minimum of 40 hours per month during non school hours.	40+ hours of programming are provided monthly.	30-39 hours of programming are provided.	Less than 30 hours of programming provided.	4	N/A

B. Financial Stability

At Risk Indicator	Pass (0)	Watch (1)	Risky (2)	Weight	Score
Drawdowns: The grantee requests funds from their award.	6 or more drawdowns have been made.	3-5 drawdowns have been made.	2 or fewer drawdowns have been made.	2	
Budget Revisions: The grantee has made revisions to the original grant.	1 budget revision was made.	2 budget revisions were made.	3 or more budget revisions made.	1	

C. Management Systems

At Risk Indicator	Pass (0)	Watch (1)	Risky (2)	Weight	Score
Monitoring & Compliance: Consideration should be given for the results of previous monitoring and compliance visits.	No findings during monitoring visits.	One finding resulting in a corrective action plan within the last 2 years.	Two or more findings resulting in a corrective action plan within the last 2 years.	2	

D. Additional Risk Factors

At Risk Indicator	Pass (0)	Watch (1)	Risky (2)	Weight	Score
New Superintendent	Greater than 2 years	1-2 years	Less than 1 year	1	
New 21st CCLC Program Director	Greater than 2 years	1-2 years	Less than 1 year	1	
New Grant/Consortia Member	Greater than 2 years	1-2 years	1st year	1	

Total Score (Includes Weight):

Totals:
Risky- 18-40
Watch- 13-17
Pass- 0-12



Acknowledgement Page

Considerations for High Risk or Moderate Risk Status

Concerns Regarding the Grant for _____

Risk Assessment Score _____

Recommendations:

It has been determined that _____ is a high risk or moderate risk grantee. Risk status will be re-assessed on _____.

Grant Director _____ Date _____

Site Coordinator _____ Date _____

State 21st CCLC Director _____ Date _____

OPI Administrator _____ Date _____